

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,348	12/09/2004		Jochen Eitel	016906-0326	5574
22428	7590	09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN SUITE 500	FOLEY AND LARDNER GIMIE, MAHMO				
3000 K STRI	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20007				

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		7	th			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/517,348	EITEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mahmoud Gimie	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres.	'S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	09 December 2004					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	·	• •				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2004</u>	is/are: a) accepted or b) ∑	I objected to by the Examiner	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		•••				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bu	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/9/04. 	B/08) 5) Notice of (6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))			

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the figures contain broken lines that impede clarity of the invention. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification lacks subtitles.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wall et al (6,244,256).

Art Unit: 3747

Wall et al discloses an apparatus for cooling charge air and exhaust gas in particular in a motor vehicle with internal combustion engine, having a recirculated exhaust-gas stream and a charge-air stream, characterized in that the exhaust-gas stream is cooled by means of a first (36) and a second (38) heat exchanger, the charge-air stream is cooled by means of a third (20) heat exchanger, before the exhaust-gas stream and charge-air stream which have been cooled in this manner are combined.

With regard to claim 4, the recirculated exhaust-gas stream can be controlled by means of a valve (22).

With regard to claim 5, the first heat exchanger (36) and the second heat exchanger (38) are formed as separate heat exchangers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beck et al (U.S. 6,742,335).

Beck et al discloses an apparatus for cooling charge air and exhaust gas in particular in a motor vehicle with internal combustion engine, having a recirculated exhaust-gas stream and a charge-air stream, characterized in that the exhaust-gas stream is cooled

Art Unit: 3747

by means of a first heat exchanger (78), the cooled exhaust-gas stream is combined with the charge-air stream, and the mixed exhaust-gas/charge-air stream is then cooled by means of a second heat exchanger (62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heydrich (4,179,892).

Heydrich discloses an apparatus for cooling charge air and exhaust gas in particular in a motor vehicle with internal combustion engine, having a recirculated exhaust-gas stream and a charge-air stream, characterized in that the exhaust-gas stream (56) is combined with the charge- air stream (41) and the mixed exhaust-gas/charge-air stream is then cooled by means of a heat exchanger (42).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3747

11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wall et al (6,244,256) in view of Watanabe et al (5,720,341).

Wall et al discloses all the limitations as applied to claims 1, 4 and 5 above, except for forming the heat exchangers as a single structural unit.

Watanabe et al discloses a duplex heat exchanger that can combine two or more heat exchangers into a single unit.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Wall et al by using a unit heat exchanger as disclosed by Watanabe et al. The motivation to do so would have been to lower cost, see Watanabe et al, col. 1, and II. 60.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show heat exchangers and particularly EGR heat exchangers or coolers.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 3747

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Gimie whose telephone number is 571-272-

4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday between 7 a.m. -3:30

p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> 9/2/25

MG

MAHMOUD GIMIE PRIMARY EXAMINER